

OCIO Digital Asset Management System (DAMS)

Smithsonian DAMS Supported File Formats

This document is intended to provide guidance in choosing file formats and digital archival workflow steps for storage in the Smithsonian Digital Asset Management System. You can find Smithsonian DAMS supported formats for Images, Audio, and Video formats outlined below. Often, file formats acquired or captured are not stable enough for long term storage, and yet other times normalizing file formats has its own consequences. This guide outlines the most common formats and troubleshooting issues encountered at the Smithsonian Institution by the DAMS team. For additions or questions, please contact your DAMS team.

- 1. Digital Archival Still Images
 - Introduction
 - <u>Still Image File Formats for Preservation and Access</u>
 - <u>Common Issues in Image Processing</u>
- 2. Digital Audio Files
 - Introduction
 - Digital Audio File Formats
- 3. Digital Video Assets
 - Introduction
 - <u>Video Wrappers</u>
 - Video Streams/Capture Formats
- 4. <u>Related Resources</u>
 - Digital Images
 - Digital Audio
 - Digital Video

Page 1

Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

I. Introduction: Archival Still Images (Two-Dimensional)

When capturing and processing still images, there are a variety of file formats available depending on the camera/capture devices and software versions utilized. Below are some of the most common still image file formats currently in use at Smithsonian. These format types are the files currently supported by the SI DAMS¹.

If you have a proprietary Camera Raw format, you may be required to convert the file to a supported format upon ingest to ensure eventual sustainability and migration of assets.

II. Still Image File Format Recommendations for Preservation and Access:

Color key:
Most commonly seen formats
Consider generating additional image alongside capture original
Conversion is necessary from the capture/original format / Decision on data
DAMS does not support/Consult 3D repository

Capture/Ori	Preservati	Access	File	File Attributes/Vulnerabilities	
ginal Format	on Master	Derivative	Considerations/R		
			ecommendations		
.DNG	.DNG	.TIF	May consider	Preferred RAW format	
			generating .TIF or	Developed by Adobe systems	
			.JPG for working	Based on TIFF/EP standard	
			or access copy.	• Three types: In- camera RAW, Converted DNG RAW,	
				Converted DNG Linear	
			Generates DAMS	Widely accepted	
			Proxy: YES	Embedded Metadata	

¹ If you have a file format you are not sure about for support please contact the DAMS team.

Page 2

Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

				 Contains Raw image data and metadata (self-contained) may contain JPEG preview Lossless and Lossy Compression Pros: Can adjust range of parameters without data loss Openly published Submitted to ISO for standard² Most common RAW format of choice for long term preservation Con: Must process file before usable
.TIF ³	.TIF	.TIF or .JPG	Ingest to DAMS Can ingest high bit depth TIFF masters (in lieu of RAW for reprocessing purposes depending on analog materials and cost analysis of project) Generates DAMS	 Lossless compression TIFF/EP (ISO 12234-2:2001) ISO Standard Needs plug-in or external application for web display Tag with Basic Metadata (TIFF Header) Embedded Metadata Adobe –proprietary Most common Image file format chosen for sustainability/ long term preservation Used for files up to 64-bit depth Wrapper: with encodings that include uncompressed, LZW compressed, LZW lossless compressed (20-30% storage space savings with no drawbacks) or bitonal-Group 4 compression. Often preferred: uncompressed raster data

² DNG has been submitted to ISO. Current Documentation: <u>Digital Negative(DNG)</u> Specification Ver. 1.4.0.0 June 2012

Page 3

³ Officially .TIF is the preferred file extension

Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

			Proxy: YES	 in a TIFF wrapper. LZW lossless compressed also growing in use. Pro: Widely accepted for long term archiving, low implementation cost, can save high bit TIF Con: May want more control and need to revisit original shot (i.e. Need for .DNG)
JPG	Ingest to DAMS as master if no TIFF or RAW format available.	Ideal format for accessibility and quick, easy downloads.	Use highest settings available. May degrade quality; ensure image parameters and compression are minimal. Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 Lossy compression (ISO/IEC 10918) Standard for web display Native to web browsers Embedded metadata Can be used for files up to 24-bit depth Rarely used for masters in digital preservation Used in most Digital Cameras Pros: Reduced size versus TIFF and RAW Can adjust degree of compression JPEG/EXIF most common digital camera format Con: Lossy compression, may degrade quality
.JP2	Ingest to DAMS as		Use highest settings available.	 JPEG 2000 standards include three encodings; core encoding is free of patents
	master if		May degrade	• JP2; JPF; JPX
	preferred		quality; ensure	JPF is an extended JPG2 format to include image
	or if TIF or		image parameters	

Page 4 Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

	RAW format are not available. ⁴		and compression are minimal. .JP2 may need to convert to .JPF to pass JHOVE if .JP2 fails. Contact DAMS for setting conversion Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 transparency. Can save with ability to include standard support for .JP2 and display extended .JPX Lossy and lossless compression Offers options such as tiling, scalability May be good for large oversized materials Pro Reduced size of files Reduced storage cost Con Universal adoption has been mixed in cultural heritage⁵ Limited support in imaging software; tool cost may be high and limited availability
.EIP or .IIQ	Ingest to	Consider	May want to	Proprietary format
(Phase One)	DAMS	generating	retain for	Packages RAW file to contains: RAW file (i.e. IIQ, CR2, or
	when		nurnosos which	NEF) +Settings (Capture One Settings) + ICC Profile
	avallable	access	purposes which	(International Color Consortium) +LCC (Lens Correction
	or special	alongside		Calibration) file
	use case	ingest of.	colorimetric	.ZIP technology
	that	EIP RAW	interpretation.	• Cannot be converted to .DNG without important data loss
	proprietary			Embedded Metadata
	RAW		Generates DAMS	

⁴ .JP2 is not a preferred format for long term preservation, but in some practical use cases and some vendor projects has been used. TIF and/or RAW files may not be available.

⁵ Please refer to <u>FADGI's *Raster Still Images for Digitization: A Comparison of File Formats*</u> section on JPEG 2000 and its adoption for more information.

Page 5

Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

	should be		Proxy: NO	Pro:	
	maintained			Contains RAW information	
				Con:	
				Proprietary format	
.3FR and/or.	Ingest to	Consider	May want to	Proprietary format	
FFF	DAMS	generating	retain for	• Cannot be converted to .DNG without important data loss	
(Hasselblad)	when	TIFF for	reprocessing	Embedded Metadata	
	available	access	purposes which	Pro:	
	or special	alongside	allows for relative	Contains RAW information	
	use case	ingest of	colorimetric	Con:	
	that	.3FR and.	interpretation.	Proprietary format	
	proprietary	FFF RAW			
	RAW		Generates DAMS		
	should be		Proxy: NO		
	maintained				
.NEF	Convert to	Consider	Recommend	Proprietary format	
	DNG	generating	conversion to	 Consider conversion to. DNG prior to ingest 	
		TIFF for	DNG prior to	Embedded Metadata	
		access	DAMS ingest for	igest for Pro:	
		alongside	long term	Contains RAW information	
		ingest of	sustainability ⁶	Cons:	
		converted.	Generates a	Proprietary format	
		DNG master	DAMS Proxy:	High-risk long term	
			No/YesDependent	 Long term compatibility risk 	
			on Processing		

⁶ Refer to RAW to DNG Conversion section for conversion parameters.

Page 6

Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

.CR2	Convert to	Consider	Recommend	Proprietary format
	DNG	generating	conversion to	 Consider conversion to .DNG prior to ingest
		TIFF for	DNG prior to	Embedded Metadata
		access	DAMS ingest for	Pro:
		alongside	long term	Contains RAW information
		ingest of	sustainability ⁷ Cons:	
		converted	Proprietary format	
		.DNG	Generates a	 High Risk long term
		master	DAMS Proxy:	 Long term compatibility risk
			No/Yes-	
			Dependent on	
			Processing	
.XMP	N/A		Legacy files may	Contain metadata
sidecar files			have .XMP	
			associated files	
			ingested. Consider	
			whether data is	
			contained record	
			and if .XMP files	
			are necessary to	
			maintain.	

⁷ Refer to RAW to DNG Conversion section for conversion parameters.

Page 7

Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

.DICOM	May be legacy versions across SI, consider conversion to DICOM 3.0 before DAMS ingest	Convert to DICOM-3 for SI wide use.	Consult with DPO 3D repository development may lend itself to stacked data. OpenText does not have module support. Reported Units with DICOMS: NASM, NMNH, SIL Generates a DAMS Proxy: NO	 Specialized format (i.e. CT Scans) DICOM1, DICOM 2, DICOM 3⁸⁹ DICOM headers include information on hardware and software associated with the individual file. All versions contain these types of embedded technical metadata, though DICOM 3 is the most robust. Embedded Metadata Pros: DICOM-3 easier to maintain than prior DICOM formats Keeping files in DICOM format preserves key data points that are not preserved when converted to image formats. Cons: More complex format to maintain/ Legacy formats include variations Older DICOM formats High-risk long term
.DPX	.DPX		Recommend to embed profile upon ingest for generation of accurate thumbnail and screen view in DAMS. Generates a DAMS Proxy: NO	 Still picture digitization/ based on Kodak Cineon (.CIN) format Maintains more information than TIFF format for still images from motion picture film Embedded Metadata Pro: Can hold 48-bit RGB or RAW data Con: Support for .DPX can be problematic

⁸ There exists a variety of legacy DICOM formats at SI, please consult the DAMS teams or DPO should you hold DICOMS.

⁹ DICOM format created by NEMA, and is ISO 12052:2007

Page 8

Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

Overall, you may consider a workflow where you convert proprietary RAW formats to .DNG for long-term preservation (i.e. .NEF and .CR2). Exceptions with .EIP and .3FR and .FFF are noted above. If you have TIFF files in some use cases, the high TIFF file may want to be maintained. Overall the use case of the analog material and the requirements of the project will inform your decisions of which formats will work best for the project. Always consider long-term sustainability and migration of the file as well as the need to reprocess the master.

III. Common Issues Seen at SI DAMS: RAW to DNG Conversion

When saving a RAW file directly from a capture device, the processing of that file and combination of software settings utilized in converting to DNG, may generate a DAMS proxy that has a green or magenta cast, upon ingest to DAMS. Likewise, the file icon, prior to ingest may or may not accurately represent the file. **However, once the file has been ingested into DAMS it will download (export) from DAMS correctly.** Based on testing, the issue does not appear to be generated or caused by the DAMS. Rather, it appears to occur in the initial processing of the RAW image off the camera prior to ingest into DAMS. Please review file settings /conversion settings and icons prior to ingest.

Some Conclusions:

1. CR2 RAW file converted to .DNG with Embed Fast load setting = magenta cast .DNG proxy in DAMS. May also occur with .NEF files

Recommendation: Do Not use Embed Fast Load setting; No JPG Preview

- .IIQ or .FFF convert to .DNG = green cast may occur as loss of data occurs in conversion
 Recommendation: Do not convert .IIQ or .FFF to .DNG. Consider producing a .TIFF file alongside ingest of the .IIQ /.FFF file.
- 3. Setting: Embed Original RAW in DNG. There may be a use case/ practical implementation where you would want to embed the original RAW in DNG. Doing so will increase file size. More often than not, the conversion to DNG appears sufficient.

Recommendation: This is currently under review. Use cases. Note: NMNH never has had in instance to do this.

Page 9

Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

4. **Report issue.** If you experience an issue. Please document the following. Name of file(s). Software (including version) and workflow utilized in creation of image.

Software and Recommended Settings

The following applications help assist in conversion to DNG. They provide ability to convert camera RAW files from proprietary formats to the more accepted/ usable DNG.

Adobe Camera RAW (ACR) – This is a free plug-in but requires a subscription to an Adobe imaging product (i.e. Adobe Photoshop). <u>https://helpx.adobe.com/camera-raw/using/supported-cameras.html</u>

Adobe Digital Negative Converter (ADC) – Free download from Adobe to convert to DNG.

https://helpx.adobe.com/photoshop/using/adobe-dng-converter.html

Common	Description of Issue	Correction of issue
Issues		
Saving	Save parameters are set for Byte order for Macintosh	Save parameters should be set for
Parameters		Byte order for IBM PC
		(This is most cross platform)
Conversion	Some files have been converted from a lossy format to a	Always convert from a Lossless
from one	lossless format.	format to a lossy format; not vice
format to	Converted from lower byte depth to higher. Creation from	versa.
another, i.e.	lower quality file to higher quality file. I.e. JPG to DNG; JPG to	Convert from higher byte depth to
TIF to JPG, not	TIF	lower byte depth. Creation from
JPG to TIF		higher quality file to lower quality
		file. i.e. DNG to TIF; TIF to JPG

II. Some Common Issues in Image Processing:

Page 10 Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

Embedded	Image file has Untagged Color Profile. Seen often with legacy	Embed a Profile (Adobe RGB 1998;
Profile	images	Gray Gamma 2.2, SRGB)
Commonly	Color Profiles that are more widely used. Project	-Adobe RGB 1998; Gray Gamma
Supported	specifications/nature of original capture may dictate which	2.2, SRGB
Color Profiles	profile is utilized	-ProPhoto and eciRGBv2 (offer
		wider gamut
Stitched	Ingesting very large image files to DAMS for delivery via IDS	max IDS size as 65000x65000
images-		Size limit set by JPEG:
maximum		JPEG/JFIF supports a maximum
issue (saving		image size of 65,535×65,535
parameters)		pixels, ^[5] hence up to 4 gigapixels
		for an <u>aspect ratio</u> of 1:1
IPTC-X Digital	Field is populated and fails on ingest;	-Suggest review of files and IPTC-X
Source Type	-Values are populated with free text and do not adhere to	field in imaging software. Either
field	standard drop down values	remove incorrect value, or if value
	-Invalid domain value (Selected Value) for field.	appears to be correct and is
	The (Selected Value) of the field has not been populated	already populated, manually
	correctly.	make the value change then
	- Notes: Drop down Values for this IPTC-X standard are correct	resave the file. This clears error.
	in pointing to IPTC-X standard URL link. i.e.	-If need to remove from Large
	<lptc4xmpext:digitalsourcetype></lptc4xmpext:digitalsourcetype>	batch of files, EXIF TOOL option to
	http://cv.iptc.org/newscodes/digitalsourcetype/digitalCapture	remove in larger batches Contact
		DAMS team.

III. Embedded Metadata:

Depending on what file format you choose you should always consider the quality of your embedded metadata. You can review the EXIF, IPTC, and XMP metadata. You may choose to add additional embedded metadata but be aware that some metadata is already generated when you take the picture. Consider how this will work in conjunction with the DAMS level metadata and your existing workflow to create a more robust and sustainable digital asset.

IV. JHOVE Image/Audio Validation:

JHOVE is a file format identification, validation and characterization tool. It is currently being implemented in all SI imaging projects that utilize VFCU (Volume File Copy Utility). The software analyzes image file formats for characteristics such as "well-formed and valid." It also provides format characterization for format specific files. VFCU determines the expected file format for each file passing though VFCU based on the filename extension (final part of the filename following the last period '.') If the filename extension is listed in the following table, VFCU will then use JHOVE to verify if the file is indeed the expected file format. Filenames are not case sensitive (for example, a file will go through the same JHOVE validation if the filename extension is TIF or tif). Below is a list of formats at SI that are run through JHOVE as part of the VFCU process:

Filename	Expected File Format			
Extension				
tif, tiff, dng ¹⁰	TIFF (<u>http://jhove.openpreservation.org/modules/tiff</u>)			
.jpg, .jpeg	JPEG (<u>http://jhove.openpreservation.org/modules/jpeg</u>)			
.jp2, .jpf, .jpx	JPEG2000 (http://jhove.openpreservation.org/modules/jpeg2000); current BUG in JHOVE that			
	causes .JP2 failure in some files; conversion to .JPF has passed in JHOVE.			
.pdf	PDF (<u>http://jhove.openpreservation.org/modules/pdf</u>)			
.aif, aiff	AIFF ¹¹ (<u>http://jhove.openpreservation.org/modules/aiff</u>)			
.wav	WAVE ¹² (http://jhove.openpreservation.org/modules/wave			

¹⁰ Although it is not the same as a tiff, DNG files DO meet tiff specifications as far as file format layout.

¹¹ Audio format described in next section

Introduction: Audiovisual Materials

When capturing, analyzing, or processing audio and video files, there are a variety of file formats available depending on the camera/capture devices, digitizing decisions, and/or donor provided files. Although constantly changing, below are some of the most common digital audio and video file formats currently in use at Smithsonian, with pros and cons outlined for each choice. The breakdown below of format types outlines what formats are currently supported by the SI DAMS, and what is recommended for formats that are not. Remember if you have a proprietary Capture/Camera Original Format, you may be required to convert the file to a supported format before ingest to ensure eventual sustainability and migration of assets.

I. Digital Audio File Formats for Preservation and Access

Original Format	File Considerations/Recommendations	File Attributes/Vulnerabilities
WAVE (.wav)	Ingest to DAMS to keep as your preservation master file. Recommended Audio Preservation file format.	WAV is a widely adopted Microsoft audio format with lossless compression. The Broadcast Wave Format (BWF) is based on the Microsoft WAVE audio file format, with additional required metadata.
	Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 Pros: WAVE supports embedded metadata using BEXT and INFO chunks, which DAMS extracts and indexes.

		 For preservation, most common audio stream is PCM (Pulse Code Modulation); Linear PCM is an uncompressed format. LPCM wrapped in BWF is considered the standard preservation format audio files. For recommendations on detailed technical audio specification, see the document on AV Digitization Format Recommendations. DAMS will make an MP3 proxy upon ingest so ingesting derivate MP3 files in addition to a master WAVE files is not recommended.
MP3 (.mp3)	If there is no WAVE file, ingest MP3 to DAMS to keep as your master file. However, this is not considered a preservation format. Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 MP3 is a widely adopted file format and generally regarded as an access format. Pros: MP3 supports embedded metadata using ID3 tags, which are extracted & indexed. The DAMS creates MP3 proxies for all audio files ingested to the DAMS that can then be exported out for access/researcher needs, so if you have a higher quality WAVE file, we don't recommend ingesting MP3 into DAMS. Cons:
		 Proprietary, lossless compression

FLAC	If conforming to preservation standards, ingest to DAMS to keep as your master file; if considered an access file, only ingest if no access file is available.	Free Lossless Audio Codec (FLAC) is a lossless compression open source format, typically used for access, but now growing in support as a preservation format in developing standardization through the CELLAR group. Pros:
	Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 Lossless Compression; FLAC supports linear PCM Free and open source Undergoing standardization Cons: No widespread use; growing in popularity as a preservation format, but still not a lot of tools, vendors using it. Until standardization, risk of interoperability issues with early uses.
AIFF	If there is no WAVE file, ingest AIFF to DAMS to keep as your master file. Consider transforming into a more open format like WAVE if storing uncompressed data. Not considered a preservation format. Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 Audio Interchange File Format was developed by Apple in 1988. Can store uncompressed data or can be compressed. Pros: Widespread use especially in audio production Supports uncompressed PCM Cons: Proprietary Apple format. Compressed data is lossy.

WMA, WMA-9, WMA-8, WMA-7	Consider transcoding into a more	Windows Media Audio.
	widely used codec, retaining original	Cons:
	specs as possible. No longer a DAMS	 Proprietary Microsoft format.
	supported format.	 A compressed format used for
		distribution.
		 Not good for long-term preservation.
		 Sparsely used and becoming more
		obsolete.

II. Digital Video File Formats for Preservation and Access:

Digital Video assets are constructed of a video *wrapper*, also known as a container, which contains multiple *streams*, or tracks, inside it, including video, audio, text, and other supported types. The following breakdown lists common wrapper formats first and then common video streams & capture formats. For full support, the video wrapper and all contained streams should be considered for full DAMS support and sustainability analysis.

When acquiring or producing born digital video materials for preservation and ingest to the DAMS, there are many file format options. SI DAMS team recommendations acquiring and storing the highest quality available of finished production materials. Pre-production versions and raw footage may be stored as appropriate. Born digital video file technical specifications change rapidly over time as technology changes, so these guidelines are general recommendations with some current examples below. SI DAMS cannot ingest complex sets of files, so archiving camera original formats that are received in nested folder structures is not supported.

For produced content:

- Receive final production versions over or in addition to pre-production versions.
- Request files that reflect original production resolution and frame rate, or a high-resolution output of the produced material.
- Receive stable, individual files as opposed to many clips of one work, when possible. Choose from a variety of codecs supported by DAMS transcoders (see list in Video User Guide)

For raw footage or camera originals:

- Request original raw footage if it can be played and supported. If not, request format technical specs that retain as much of the specs as the original files, especially in <u>color space</u>, bit rate, and resolution.
- Only normalize files to more standard formats when the original formats are at risk and cannot be ingested as individual files in DAMS. Prioritize retaining of original technical specifications, especially in <u>color space</u>, <u>bit rate</u>, <u>and resolution</u>.

Page 17 Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

Original Format	File Considerations/Recommendations	File Attributes/Vulnerabilities
VIDEO WRAPPER		
QuickTime File Format/MOV (.mov)	Check video and audio streams to be sure they are supported formats (listed below). Ingest to DAMS if it is the wrapper of the master file. Consider adoption of MXF or MKV for archival masters in the future.	 MOV is a video wrapper format initially developed by Apple but then standardized under ISO. Pros: Very widespread use and supported by many editing suites and cameras. Can contain a wide number of compressed and uncompressed video formats. Familiar with users and supported by many players. Cons: Proprietary Data streams are stored in an mdat atom and the information for reading the data is stored in a separate moov atom either at the front or end of the file, making this format more susceptible to loss if this moov atom is missing, truncated, or corrupted.

MPEG-4 (.mp4)	Check video and audio streams to be	An ISO standard, MPEG-4 Part 14 is based on
	sure they are supported formats	the QuickTime File Format, published in
	(listed below). Ingest to DAMS if it is	2003.
	the wrapper of the master file.	Pros:
		• MP4 is a widely adopted video container
		that can store video, audio, still images, subtitles, and other data.
		 Capable of containing a wide range of video streams, most commonly AVC/h.264 at this time.
		Cons:
		 Considered an access format, as it is the most common video streaming container with largely compressed data inside. Cannot store timecode.
MXF (.mxf)	Ingest to DAMS if the master file is	The Material Exchange Format (mxf)
	Chock video and audio stroams to be	producers /broadcasters with 10 variatios
	sure they are supported formats	standardized by SMPTE to increase
	(listed below)	interoperability
		Pros
		 MXE version OP1A was standardized by
		the archival community as the standard
		for archiving (Library of Congress uses this
		as their standard). Operational Pattern 1a
		(OP1a) defines the file as a single file self-

		contained comprising of a single essence or interleaved essence elements (one file).
		Cons:
		 MXF containers can contain a variety of audio and video formats, many camera originals, and sometimes in separate spanned or split streams, creating unstable packages for long term storage. Be sure to store and create OP1a and follow archival guidelines (formerly AS-07 at the Library of Congress).
MPG2/MPEG-2 (.mpg/.mpeg)	Ingest to DAMS if it is the master file. Maintain as much of the original specifications as possible if transcoding (ie DVDs).	 Commonly known as MPEG-2, the ISO specification defines the video format developed by the Motion Picture Experts Group (MPEG). Originally developed in 2006 for broadcast/transmission purposes. Pros: A history of wide adoption by many distribution outlets (broadcast, etc), leading to uses in many common practices, notably for mezzanine/edit master files. Can be seen in very high bit rates- ie 50mbps for optimal editing.

		 You may see MPEG-2 PS (program stream) files or TS (transport stream) files. The DVD authored video format primary uses the MPEG-2 format.
		Cons:
		 The standard defines the bitstream and decoder, but not the encoding, leading to a variety of slightly different encoding options, increasing the risk that files will not be interoperable.
		 Lossy, compressed format often used as an option for high quality derivatives/editing. Not considered a preservation format.
		 MPEG-2 files cannot accept additional embedded metadata.
		 Closed wrapper can only contain a very limited amount of and strictly defined video and audio streams.
AVI (.avi)	Ingest to DAMS if it is the master file. Consider re-wrapping if loss can be minimized.	AVI (Audio Video Interleaved) is a proprietary format developed by Microsoft and IBM as part of RIFF (the Resource Interchange File Format)
		Pros:

		 Supports a wide range of video codecs, with AVI_DivX and AVI_Indeo being two of the most common. AVI was first specified in 1992 and is still widely used, most often as an access format. Cons: AVI files previously used as preservation masters have been retired and replaced at most large collecting institutions. Proprietary and large data packages May contain WAVE and MP3 Constant Bit Rate audio but cannot contain some types of Variable Bitrate (VBR) data reliably, such as MP3 audio at sample rates below 22 kHz
Matroska (.mkv)	Ingest to DAMS if it is the master file.	 An open source, widely adopted wrapper that has been in use since 2002. Pros: Widespread adoption as an internet video format. Current standardization for
		 archiving being undertaken by the CELLAR group. Data is stored in clusters with hash values stored at the beginning of each clusters,

	allowing for less risk in loss of the entire
	file in the event of corruption or data loss.
	 Allows for structured metadata and file
	attachment, as well as subtitle and
	chaptering capabilities. Metadata
	manipulation and other preservation and
	file validation activities through the
	MKVtoolnix suite of open source tools.
	 Broad support of a variety of video
	encodings and is currently used in
	preservation workflows with the FFV1
	codec.
	Cons:
•	 Still new as an option for archiving. Many
	vendors and tools do not yet support it.
	 Before standardization, risk of
	interoperability issues.

Original Format	File Considerations/Recommendations	File Attributes/Vulnerabilities
VIDEO STREAM/CAPTURE FORMAT		
AVC/h.264	Ingest to DAMS if master file. If a supported master file exists and a low quality AVC copy exists, there is no need to retain.	Advanced Video Coding, or AVC, also known as h.264. Pros: A very common and widespread video stream that can be found in an MOV or MP4
	Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 wrapper, among others. It is a common capture format, coming directly off camera. This flexibility and widespread use make it a good stable video stream supported by DAMS. If retaining as a master file, consider retaining a high-quality copy and/or specifications original/close to the capture settings. DAMS proxy files are created with a low res AVC stream inside an MP4 wrapper with AAC audio. Cons: Lossy compression.
		 It can be stored in various bit rates, causing it to be either very high quality (and large data size) or very low quality. It

		can be uncompressed (very high quality) or very very compressed (the most common choice for video streaming often paired with AAC audio streams or Advanced Audio Coding).
ProRes	Ingest to DAMS if master file.	Proprietary Apple codec optimized for Editing; not a camera original file.
	Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	Pros:
		 A very common format due to the need to transcode files for editing, leading to most camera originals being turned into ProRes and then discarded. Cons: Proprietary, not an open format. Very large data sizes due to intraframe compression. A variety of very high resolution but still lossy compression ProRes formats with standard technical specs include: ProRes 422, ProRes 422, ProRes 422, ProRes 422, ProRes 422, HQ, Prores 444.
DV, HDV, DVC-PRO	Ingest to DAMS if master file. If digitizing or transferring/rewrapping, preferred to stay within the DV	All are part of the DV (Digital Video) family of video codecs, developed in 1996. Pros:
	family.	• Very widespread use, open simple format.

	Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 DV uses intra frame compression or compression in each frame, not between frames, making it ideal for editing. Varieties developed off DV include similar features but with variety in technical specs: DV(25mpbs); DV-50 (50mbps); DVCPro (100mbps); HDV (HD&MPEG2) Cons: Lossy compression
MPEG-2	Ingest to DAMS if master file.	See MPEG in container section about. MPEG- 2 is an entire package that has to have
	Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 specific mpeg video and audio streams. Pros: Widespread use Cons: Because the standard is built on decoding and not encoding, interoperable issues exist Do not support embedded data Lossy compression
AVCHD	Cannot be ingested to DAMS in its native package format. Recommendation to span split clips and create single files for each clip of export one clip per sequence (multiple related clips) as	 Widespread Camera Original format developed by Sony and Panasonic in 2006. Pros: A very common capture format due to the high quality produced for small data packages.

	appropriate. Retain close to original video stream specs as possible (h.264/AVC) and rewrap into a common format, most likely .mov Generates DAMS Proxy (mts video stream files): NO	 Supported AVC video stream (see above) Cons: lossy compression built to maximize storage of high definition video on limited camera storage/flash drives. Files are packaged in a proprietary directory AVCHD directory structure. Only natively viewable on Mac OS. Format highly dependent on retaining directory structure. Video files are stored in a proprietary MTS wrapper with a supported AVC/h264 video stream but they get split into 2GB chunks in a Stream folder. Spanning clips can make audio drift if not done correctly; codecs predominant but MTS wrapper is not. Initially built to be compatible with Blueray Disk format for access
XDCAM	Ingest to DAMS if the master file is OP1A. If not, rewrap as appropriate.	A Sony developed production level camera original format developed in 2003 and with a variety of types that vary in technical specs:
	Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	XDCAM SD, XDCAM HD, XDCAM EX and XDCAM HD422 Pros: • Widespread camera original.

		 Camera original package has a nested folder structure, but video files are standalone and sidecar files most often do not contain archival relevant data and can be discarded. Video codec is mostly MPEG2 for HD or can be DV for SD, wrapped in MXF. Both supported formats.
Ρ2	Cannot be ingested to DAMS in its native package format.	Camera Original Panasonic Format. Cons:
	Recommendation to create single files for each clip or export one clip per sequence (multiple related clips) as appropriate, but retain close to original video stream specs as possible and transcode file for stability. Generates DAMS Proxy: NO	 Complex directory structure; P2 individual media files use the FAT32 file system and are therefore limited to 4 GB. Sequences can be spanned across multiple files. The format is highly dependent on retaining directory structure; audio and video streams stored in separate folders making them very unstable.
XAVC-I; XAVC-L; XAVC-S	Ingest to DAMS if master file is wrapped in MXF OP1a or other	Camera Original Sony prosumer format Pros:
	supported container formats.	 XAVC-L and XAVC-I use the MXF wrapper; XAVC-S use an MP4 wrapper.
	Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 XAVC is based off the AVC prediction and is widespread use and supported by DAMS.

		 Gaining in popularity so the amount of files we are seeing is steadily increasing. Cons: Huge data packages. A common format for 4k productions creating very large files. Lossy compression. A very new camera original format carries its own risk.
REDCODE/ R3D	Create something else. Do not keep proprietary files that we cannot open and view. Generates DAMS Proxy: NO	 Camera Original RED Digital Cinema Camera Cons: Very Proprietary, need special tools to render.
m2v1	Either keep native files or transform into an open format when resources are available. Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 Codec used as part of the Tricaster, a tool used by SI for livestreaming and capture. Cons: Newtek proprietary codec Pros: Although not an open codec, the codec pack was given to us freely by the vendor and we can access and transform the files. Due to scale of this collection, we support storing these files.

FFV1	Ingest to DAMS if master file. Preferred format is ffv1.3. Not recommended to turn already lossy compressed files (ie born digital camera originals) to ffv1. Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 Widely used as a standard for capture in analog to digital video preservation workflows. Pros: Open source codec with lossless encoding, used with a Matroska (.mkv) wrapper. Created through the ffmpeg project and standardizing through the CELLAR group. Properties conducive to archiving include smaller data size due to lossless compression (about 1/3 of size of uncompressed); the ability to create a crc hash for each frame or each slice of a frame; and self description. FFV1 files with MKV wrappers allow for embedded metadata and other preservation and file validation activities through the MKVtoolnix suite of open source tools. Cons: Not widely used but growing in
		popularity. Most tools are command line.
Uncompressed Video	Ingest to DAMS if master file.	Widely used as a standard for capture in
	Preferred format is v210. Not	analog to digital video preservation
	recommended to turn already lossy	workflows.

	compressed files (ie born digital camera originals) to uncompressed video. Generates DAMS Proxy: YES	 Pros: No compression allows for less loss if there is any corruption. Widespread use at the Smithsonian. Video is commonly created at 10bits with the v210 fourcc, but there are other varieties. Cons: Very large data packages Interoperability could be a problem due to decoder differences without a codec defined.
Motion JPEG2000	Ingest to DAMS if master file. Not recommended to turn already lossy compressed files (ie born digital camera originals) to Motion JPEG2000. Generates DAMS Proxy: NO	 Widely used as a standard for capture in analog to digital video preservation workflows. Pros: Lossless compression creates smaller data packages than uncompressed. Standardized as one of the few open standards for video digitization standardization and used by the Library of Congress (wrapped in MXF). Cons: Not widespread use and limited tools for creation and troubleshooting Early tools ovhibit interparable issues
		creation and troubleshootingEarly tools exhibit interoperable issues.

Related Resources

- 1. Digital Images
- FADGI (Federal Digitization Guidelines Initiative) Still Image File Format Comparison <u>http://www.digitizationguidelines.gov/guidelines/File_format_compare.html</u>
- Sustainability of Digital Formats Planning for Library of Congress <u>http://digitalpreservation.gov/formats/intro/intro.shtml</u>
- NARA Reformatting Approaches http://www.archives.gov/preservation/products/definitions/reformatting.html
- Digital Negative (DNG) Specification Ver. 1.4.0.0 June 2012 <u>https://www.adobe.com/content/dam/acom/en/products/photoshop/pdfs/dng_spec_1.4.0.0.pdf</u>
- JHOVE <u>http://jhove.openpreservation.org/</u>

2. Digital Audio

• FADGI (Federal Digitization Guidelines Initiative) Guidelines: Embedded Metadata in Broadcast WAVE Files, Audio-Visual Working Group

http://www.digitizationguidelines.gov/guidelines/digitize-embedding.html

- BWF MetaEdit Tool: <u>http://sourceforge.net/projects/bwfmetaedit/</u>
- Essential Resources for Audio Preservation, ARSC <u>http://www.arsc-audio.org/pdf/ARSCTC_resources.pdf</u> ARSC Guide to Audio Preservation <u>http://www.clir.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/pub164.pdf</u>

Page 32 Digital Asset Management System Branch, OCIO Office of Systems Modernization June 2019

• IASA-TC04: *Guidelines on the Production and Preservation of Digital Audio Objects*, International Association of Sound and Audiovisual Archives

https://www.iasa-web.org/tc04/key-digital-principles

- 3. Digital Video
- File Formats and Compression Guide: Digital Video Formats, JISC Digital Media: <u>http://www.jiscdigitalmedia.ac.uk/guide/file-formats-and-compression/#video</u>
- Format Descriptions, Sustainability of Digital Formats: Planning for Library of Congress Collections: <u>http://www.digitalpreservation.gov/formats/fdd/descriptions.shtml</u>
- IASA-TC 06 Guidelines for the Preservation of Video Recordings
- <u>https://www.iasa-web.org/tc06/guidelines-preservation-video-recordings</u>
- FADGI (Federal Digitization Guidelines Initiative) Creating and Archiving Digital Video: Part 1: Introduction <u>http://www.digitizationguidelines.gov/guidelines/FADGI_BDV_p1_20141202.pdf</u>
 Part II: Eight Federal Case Histories: <u>http://www.digitizationguidelines.gov/guidelines/FADGI_BDV_p2_20141202.pdf</u>
 Part III: High Level Recommended Practices: <u>http://www.digitizationguidelines.gov/guidelines/FADGI_BDV_p3_20141202.pdf</u>
- Codec Encoding for LossLess Archiving and Realtime transmission (cellar)https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/cellar/charter/
- Born-Digital Camera-Original Video: Practices and Risks, SI, OCIO, DAMS Survey <u>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/10vZkGkizNnx_nZ90VD0kJJIVFuIMK_7FYKC77YhoUac/edit#gid=0</u>
- ProRes <u>https://www.apple.com/final-cut-pro/docs/Apple_ProRes_White_Paper.pdf</u>