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PREFACE

In January, 2003, Nature's Jewels: A Living Exhibit of Orchids and Butterflies opened in the Arts and Industries Building. The beauty of the orchids and butterflies resonated with visitors and staff by shifting their orientations from stone buildings, traffic and work to the perfection of the natural world. Obtaining visitors’ perceptions of the exhibition was feasible. Capturing the awe of what they saw around them was impossible. Nevertheless, staff from the Office of Policy and Analysis conducted a survey of about 1,000 visitors to determine how the exhibition was received and what improvements could be made to subsequent exhibitions.

My sincere gratitude is extended to the staff who collaborated in this work. Andrew Pekarik designed the study, drafted the questionnaire, analyzed the data, and wrote this report. Noel Kinder and Ioana Munteanu, with the guidance and support of Zahava D. Doering, assisted with design, pretested questions, field-managed data collection, entered and edited data, and constructed the database. David Karns helped with the management of the data and its conversion into useful forms.

Carole M. P. Neves, Director
Office of Policy and Analysis
A Survey of Visitors to
Nature's Jewels: A Living Exhibit of Orchids and Butterflies

INTRODUCTION

Background
Every year the Smithsonian Institution’s orchid collection is displayed together with orchids from the collection of the United States Botanical Garden. On alternate years that exhibition is presented inside a Smithsonian museum and is organized by a distinctive theme. In 2003 the exhibition was held in one of the 5,000 square foot galleries on the first floor of the Arts and Industries Building from January 18 to May 26, 2003 and the theme was the relationship between orchids and butterflies. In addition to the orchid display, the exhibition space included a 1,000 square foot free-flight butterfly conservatory.

Nancy Bechtol, Director, Office of Facilities Management, who supervised the planning and presentation of the exhibition, requested this visitor study. Its purpose is to establish baseline evaluation data and to provide background for planning the next orchid presentation at the Smithsonian in 2005.

The Survey Study
This survey was administered in late March and early April as a two-page handout to a representative sample of about 1,000 exhibition visitors as they left the exhibition. As part of the planning for the survey, about 15 open-ended interviews were recorded with exhibition visitors and the handout was pre-tested extensively. The survey included questions about visitor characteristics, background interests, activities in the exhibition, evaluation of the exhibition and its elements, satisfying experiences, messages, and suggestions for change. See Appendix A for the complete list of questions and the percentage results.

KEY RESULTS

High Satisfaction
When asked to rate their visit to the exhibition, 44% of visitors indicated that they were more than fully satisfied, and 40% that they were fully satisfied.

The top category, “more than fully satisfied,” indicates an unqualified high level of satisfaction. It is the most sensitive measure of how much visitors enjoyed the exhibition. Comparing other Smithsonian exhibitions that have been similarly measured, the score for Nature’s Jewels is midway between the National Museum of American History’s September 11, 2003: Bearing Witness to History, in which 39% of visitors indicated that they were more than fully satisfied, and the Arthur M. Sackler Gallery’s 2001 exhibition Music in the Age of Confucius, in which 51% of visitors indicated the highest level of satisfaction.
Butterflies raised satisfaction

The most important factor influencing visitors’ satisfaction was whether or not they entered the butterfly conservatory.

Among the three in four visitors who entered the conservatory, 55% were more than fully satisfied with the exhibition, but among the one in four visitors who did not enter the conservatory, only 19% were more than fully satisfied with their visit. The percentage of visitors who were more than fully satisfied is especially high among a visitor subgroup consisting of those who entered the butterfly conservatory and also met three additional conditions: 1) felt that there was enough information in the exhibition, 2) were especially interested in butterflies, and 3) indicated that an enriched understanding was one of their satisfying experiences in the exhibition. Among this subgroup (18% of all visitors), 81% were more than fully satisfied.

Butterfly-aware visitors

Exactly half of the visitors have seen a butterfly exhibition elsewhere.

In 2001 it was estimated that there are 150 permanent butterfly conservatories around the world.\(^1\) We can assume that the visitors who have seen a butterfly exhibition elsewhere are making a comparison, at least implicitly, between their experiences here and elsewhere.

Too small for everyone

Nearly all visitors felt that the exhibition should have been larger.

Altogether 92% of visitors expressed at least one criticism of the size of the exhibition, either in terms of the space, the number of orchids or butterflies, or crowding. Because this opinion is true of nearly everyone, it is not strongly linked to satisfaction. Even the most satisfied visitors felt that the exhibition should have been larger.

An aesthetic, object-focused experience

“Being moved by beauty,” “seeing rare things,” and “seeing the real thing” were primary satisfying experiences for visitors.

Spending time with friends or family and relaxing were also important satisfying experiences for visitors. The one-in-three visitors who reported beauty and object experiences were generally happier about the exhibition (51% of them said that they were more than fully satisfied) as compared to the overall sample.

---

Messages were perceived  *Two out of three visitors believed that the exhibition had communicated a message to them.*

Although the exhibition was designed to be primarily an aesthetic, immersive experience with limited information, visitors perceived messages about appreciating the beauty of the natural world, as well its diversity and fragility, and the interconnectedness of all life.

Too little information for some  *One in five visitors felt that there was not enough information in the exhibition.*

Since exhibition visitors arrive with different attitudes and agendas, it often happens that what is important to one group is less important to another. In this case we can identify a subgroup of 20% of visitors whom we call “seekers” because their most satisfying experience in the exhibition was either gaining information, enriching knowledge, seeing the real thing, or thinking what it would be like to own such things. These visitors were more likely to use and value information sources, such as handouts and orchid information boxes, and more likely to feel that there was too little information (27% of them felt that there was too little information). Even so, they rated their overall satisfaction with the exhibition at about the same level as other visitors.

A social opportunity  *Five in six visitors came to the exhibition with others.*

We can identify a subgroup of 14% of visitors who said that their most satisfying experience was either spending time with friends/family or seeing their children learn. These social-oriented visitors were less likely to find a message in the exhibition and they were more likely to believe that the exhibition space was too small and that there were too few activities for children.

Engaged visitors  *Visitors were actively involved in the exhibition.*

Half of the visitors or more opened the orchid-information boxes, talked with staff, or smelled an orchid up close. Many had direct contact with the contents: 18% touched an orchid, and 37% had a butterfly land on them.

Inadequate seating  *A number of visitors wanted more seating.*

About one-third felt that there was too little seating; one-third felt that the amount of seating was just right, and one-third had no opinion.
SUGGESTIONS

Make future orchid exhibitions larger.
A larger exhibition would allow freer movement for visitors around the objects, permit the installation of more seating, provide space for differentiating between text-intensive information areas and object-intensive immersion areas, and increase the number of items on view.

Continue to make use of compelling themes.
By choosing the theme of the relationship between orchids and butterflies, the exhibition organizers greatly enhanced the likelihood that visitors would perceive meaningful messages, even without the use of extensive text.

Provide more for information seekers.
As noted above, a larger space would allow for text-intensive areas that could be attractive in themselves but would not detract from the aesthetic, immersion experiences appreciated by so many visitors. Interactive stations about orchids, for example, would not only provide more information, but could also provide more child-oriented activities, as well as encouragement to use the Smithsonian website for follow-up.

Any future butterfly conservatory should be much larger.
Although visitors are strongly attracted to butterfly conservatories, they are no longer novelties. Visitor expectations have been shaped in part by large, year-round conservatories, such as Butterfly World in Coconut Creek, Florida, which advertises itself as the largest butterfly conservatory in the world with an 8,000 square foot, 30-foot high tropical rainforest containing 5,000 butterflies.
Appendix A
Results from the Survey of Visitors to
Nature’s Jewels: A Living Exhibit of Orchids and Butterflies

Activities in the exhibition
“Which of the following did you do in this exhibition of orchids and butterflies? ”

Orchid Activities
63% Looked at the prints and paintings
56% Smelled an orchid up close
49% Looked at the orchid sculptures in cases
48% Opened an orchid information-box
18% Touched an orchid

Butterfly Activities
78% Looked at the butterfly incubator
73% Entered the butterfly room
61% Stood in line to enter the butterfly room
53% Read the butterfly information-fan
37% Had a butterfly land on me

General Activities
50% Talked with museum staff
39% Took photographs
36% Read a handout sheet
21% Sat down in the exhibition

Opinions
“How would you rate your visit to this exhibition? ”
44% More than fully satisfied
40% Fully satisfied
14% Satisfied
2% Somewhat dissatisfied

“How did the following affect your visit to this exhibition? ”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>enhanced</th>
<th>detracted</th>
<th>no effect</th>
<th>didn’t see/do</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The natural setting of the orchids</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living things in an exhibition</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterflies and orchids together</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The butterfly incubator</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum staff in the exhibition</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smells of different kinds</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly information-fan</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchid information-boxes</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The white walls in the butterfly room</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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“How would you rate the following features of the exhibition?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Just right</th>
<th>Too many</th>
<th>Too few</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orchids</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterflies</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of information</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visitors</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paintings and prints</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seating</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchid sculptures</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities for children</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“If you could make one change to the exhibition, what would it be?”

45% of visitors recommended a change

Recommended changes:
- 18% More space
- 5% More information
- 4% Improved design
- 3% More orchids
- 3% Less crowding
- 2% More seating
- 9% Other

Sample recommendations:

**More space (18% of visitors)**
- A bigger butterfly house
- Bigger space - keep on bumping into people
- Bigger! Take us to Malaysia, Thailand, etc. home of orchid
- Enlarge it
- Enlarge the butterfly room so you could fit more people
- Have a larger butterfly house!
- Increase size of exhibition area
- Increasing the kind of insects
- Large building size Niagara Falls exhibit size
- Larger butterfly room
- Larger glass viewing area for butterflies if one did not want to enter the hot room (or maybe a video hook-up to the room)
- Larger, like the traveling one at San Diego Wild Animal Park
- Make the butterfly house bigger so there could be more butterflies
- Maybe add to the butterfly house section larger with a few seats.
- More of it! I wish it were larger/bigger
- More space - more places to pause and look
- Twice the size
More information (5% of visitors)
A slightly better explanation of each butterfly species (maybe photos/info IN the exhibit)
Add more information about orchids, particularly about what makes each specimen interesting or unique
All such exhibits should include info on co-evolution, criteria for separating species and genera, may references to URLs with more complete explanatory material
Have a science video about what orchids are or sell a nice one or two page summary pamphlet at modest cost
Have more info on the butterflies themselves: common name, country, habits, etc.
Incorporate more learning - make it more "led" than freeform
Information about environmental dangers to the natural habitat - i.e., humans destroying rainforests
More explanation! What makes an orchid an orchid?
Put the information on orchid function directly in front of the relevant plant instead of in front of models.

Improved design (4% of visitors)
Ask the curators at the National Gallery how to better light an exhibit.
Better integration of butterfly house structure
Better spacing of orchids
Easier/quicker access to butterfly exhibit
Orchids should have been staged correctly as they grow in nature.
Less plants in front of butterfly room; you could still see it if you didn't want to wait in line.
More environment (walls, lighting) in the butterfly exhibition. More natural.
Perhaps a wider walking space with more benches
The layout - a circular layout for the orchids would have been pleasing
Use something other than rough plastic sheeting at ends of butterfly house (over stone wall to roof)
White walls- another light shade might be good - but do the butterflies need white? Or is it for us to see them better?
More than one path in butterfly area so everyone would not be so clumped together

More orchids (3% of visitors)
Add a U.S. section of orchids
Add orchids higher up in the room
More fragrance orchids - maybe a way to see how white orchids function at night
More orchids and more orchids close enough to the exhibit edge so smelling them would be easier
More variety of original species
Need some "barheria" orchids

Less crowding (3% of visitors)
Butterfly line too long
Let fewer people in at a time
More seating (2% of visitors)
- Add a couple more benches in the orchid area
- More benches in butterfly room
- More seating to better observe the butterfly room
- Need place to sit and meditate

Other changes (9% of visitors)
- Better air circulation in butterfly room
- Cooler but I know that's impossible
- More butterfly species
- Relaxing "nature" music in the orchid exhibit
- Advertise it more
- Make it permanent
- Could you provide one photo-op area for family pictures?
- Have pictures of the jungles where the butterflies are from
- Feeding the butterflies (let guests do it)
- Label orchids that have scent
- More fountains inside the exhibit
- No hybrids - just naturally occurring species
- More kid friendly
- Comfortable seat and no time limit in the butterfly room
- Let attendees hold something so butterflies would land on them
- Cleaner chrysalis chamber
- I had also the concern of some of the butterflies in the incubator and outside being injured.

Experiences
“Which of the following experiences did you find satisfying in this exhibition?”
- 87% Being moved by beauty
- 78% Spending time with friends/family
- 74% Seeing the real thing
- 73% Seeing rare, valuable things
- 67% Relaxing
- 59% Gaining information or knowledge
- 55% Enriching my understanding
- 47% Seeing my children learn
- 42% Feeling inspired
- 30% Imagining other times or places
- 26% Reflecting on the meaning of what I was looking at
- 25% Thinking what it would be like to own such things
- 24% Feeling a spiritual connection
- 15% Feeling a sense of belonging
- 6% Other experiences
“Which experience did you find most satisfying?”
41% Being moved by beauty
11% Spending time with friends/family
 9% Seeing the real thing
 9% Seeing rare, valuable things
 5% Relaxing
 5% Gaining information or knowledge
 4% Enriching my understanding
 4% Seeing my children learn
 4% Feeling a spiritual connection
 3% Other experiences
 3% Feeling inspired
 2% Imagining other times or places
 1% Reflecting on the meaning of what I was looking at
 1% Thinking what it would be like to own such things
 1% Feeling a sense of belonging

“Does this exhibition communicate a message to you?”
 66% Yes
 21% Perhaps it might later
 10% No
 3% Does not apply

Messages
 33% Appreciation
 32% Beauty
  7% Diversity
  7% Interconnectedness
  3% Preservation
  3% Fragility
  3% Knowledge
  3% Peace
  6% Other messages

Sample messages

**Appreciation** (32% of visitors)
Appreciate all God's living things
Appreciation of nature and its delicate beauty
Beauty and love are the joys of life
Butterflies are cool and orchids are interesting
Enjoy nature
Have living nature surround you, happiness
How beautiful nature and simple pleasures can be and we need to realize this more
How often we overlook the beauty of nature
How stunning nature is and what the world offers
How wonderfully creative God is
It made me happy to see the butterflies. I felt like a kid again. The orchids are so
beautiful, I felt calm and joyful just to see them. Though this isn't a message really,
but its gift. Thank you.
It's a wonderful world
Life continues to amaze
Life is a beautiful and precious thing. Take every opportunity to appreciate it.
Natural life is valuable
Nature is amazing
Respect the environment, pay attention to nature - it is truly magical
Slowdown long enough to "see"
The beauty is all around us
The world is a good and happy place; hope; beauty; life
To appreciate living things
To notice, love, and take care of natural beauty and to try growing orchids at home
and provide garden environments for butterflies
We can appreciate beauty in the natural world
We live in a living beautiful planet
Wow

**Beauty** (32% of visitors)
Beautiful nature
Beauty and love are the joys of life
Beauty and nature combined
Beauty and variety of orchids
Beauty comes in many forms
Beauty is all around us
Beauty is delicate but rejuvenates itself.
Beauty of natural world - need to preserve it
Beauty of nature, symbiotic relationship
Beauty of watching plants and animals interact in their natural environment
Beauty on earth - sometimes unnoticed
Butterflies are beautiful
God's world is so beautiful
In a world of strife there is still much beauty
Orchids and butterflies are more beautiful than self-aggrandizing cubist art.
The beauty of nature brings deep peace from God
The beauty of such an exhibition especially in these trying times
What beautiful intricate things we have in our environment
Yes, beauty and nature are one

**Diversity** (7% of visitors)
Amazing diversity of living things
Beauty and variety of nature and the importance to preserve it
Biodiversity depends on many significant, but hidden, connections"
Biodiversity is important
Butterflies are interesting and there are so many kinds
There are so many types of orchids. Wow!
Did not realize that there were so many species of orchids
Diversity, pollination strategies
The immense variety of life
Variety in nature is awesome

Interconnectedness (7% of visitors)
All life is interconnected. Everything we do affects other life.
All things are living beings - we are all connected
Biodiversity depends on many significant, but hidden, connections
Co-evolution of butterflies and orchids
How butterflies are related to orchids
How plants and insects interact
Importance of interactions and interdependence of plants and animals and
significance of man's impact on nature
Insight into what can cohabit with orchids
Living things depend on each other
Nature in harmony
Nature means a reliance on others
Plants require butterflies
Relationship between nature, humans, and the intricate dynamics one "requires" in
order to successfully live, by itself and with one another

Preservation (3% of visitors)
Appreciate and preserve environment
Hope for continuation of beautiful things
Importance of preserving natural habitats
Living things are beautiful and should be protected and enjoyed
Nature is beautiful and needs to be protected
Preservation of wild places
Protect environment
Save the environment that holds butterflies and birds
The importance of keeping natural habitats
We must do everything to preserve nature's jewels

Fragility (3% of visitors)
Beauty and fragility of nature
Butterflies are delicate, rare, and worth preserving
Earth is fragile
Fragility of nature and beauty
Frailty and strength come in many forms
How delicate nature is
How fragile yet enduring the orchids are in their many varied native settings on earth!
Life is interdependent and fragile/tenacious at the same time
Nature is delicate and strong at the same time
Knowledge (3% of visitors)
- Broadened my knowledge of orchids
- Butterflies live in hot temp
- How hard it is to find camouflage butterflies
- How little I really know
- Information about nature
- Insight into what can cohabit with orchids
- It improved by knowledge of orchids and butterflies
- Orchids are far more commonly found than I thought
- That flowers need pollination for insects. That the wind patterns account for locations of certain species. Maybe there is order and design.
- That living things can survive indoors

Peace (3% of visitors)
- An unintended message perhaps - I found moment of peace and tranquility during very turbulent time in our country.
- Beauty and peace
- Calm of nature
- Peace, very uplifting

Other messages (6% of visitors)
- How can so much beauty coexist in a society and culture that endorses violence?
- I like live museums.
- I should make use of aesthetic enhancers more.
- I should plant some orchids.
- I want to go outside to real nature.
- I want to grow orchids at home.
- It is a shame that we have to go to a museum in a metropolitan area to view natural phenomena. This is no substitute for the "real thing."
- It's great to see living creatures in their element even if it was man-made. You get a little better understanding of what condition they survive in.
- Living things can be a museum.
- Nice - Great to be an American.
- Relaxing
- Springtime
- Summer is coming.
- The playfulness of nature is limitless.
- There is a "Spring" variety of orchids.
- To see live butterfly upfront and look close at the orchids
- Tropical butterflies are different from the ones I normally see.
- We don't grow enough flowers.
- We should spend more national money on domestic projects that celebrate the beauty of our natural world.
- Yes, more nature and science, less security and fear.
Background Questions
“Did you see anything about this exhibition on a Web site?”
   9% Yes

“Is this your first visit to the Smithsonian?”
   19% Yes

“Did you come to the Smithsonian primarily to see this exhibition?”
   45% Yes

“Have you visited this exhibition more than once?”
   16% Yes

“Have you ever grown orchids?”
   29% Yes

“Are you a gardener?”
   51% Yes

“Are you especially interested in butterflies?”
   50% Yes

“Have you seen a live butterfly exhibition elsewhere?”
   50% Yes

Demographic characteristics
“Where do you live?”
   15% Washington, DC
   32% Maryland/Virginia suburbs
   47% Other US states
   6% Other countries

“With whom are you visiting today?”
   16% Alone
   41% One other adult
   19% Group of adults
   20% Adult(s) with child(ren)
   2% Group of teens
   2% Tour/school group

“Your age”
   21% ages 12 to 26 (born 1977-1991)
22% ages 27 to 37 (born 1966-1976)
20% ages 38 to 48 (born 1955-1965)
20% ages 49 to 57 (born 1946-1954)
16% ages 58 or older (born 1928-1945)

“Your gender”
69% Female
31% Male

Analysis Variable

Attitude A division based on the visitors’ most satisfying experience
20% “Seeker”—Seeing the real thing, Gaining information or knowledge, Enriching
my understanding, Thinking what it would be like to own such things
14% Social—Spending time with friends/family, Seeing my children
learn
17% “Dreamer”—Feeling inspired, Relaxing, Imagining other times or places,
Reflecting on the meaning of what I was looking at, Feeling a spiritual
connection, Feeling a sense of belonging
48% “Aesthete”—Being moved by beauty, Seeing rare/valuable things

2 Categories constructed out of other variables that explain behavior or opinion better than any individual
variable.